

<b>Date:</b> October 28, 2019		OB3, Conference Rm 1 3701 Branch Center Rd Sacramento CA 95827		Verronda Moore – Co-Chair (P4), CPS		Carol Ramirez, Co-chair (P4) – Lilliput	
Attendees							
	Melissa Lloyd - CPS		Dianne McFarland - CPS-Court Services (CS)	X	Paul Vossen / Korlany Roche – DBHS		
X	Verronda Moore – CPS - Permanency (PS)	X	Leslie Olson - CPS- Program Support	X	Carol Ramirez – Lilliput		
X	Jade Tea – CPS - Emergency Response/Informal Supervision	X	Lindsay Zettel - County Counsel	X	Sal DeLeon - SCOE		
	Susan McKee – CPS-ER/IS		Laurie Clothier – Birth & Beyond (River Oak)		Robin Kwizer – Cultural Broker's Program		
X	Edward Fernando – CPS - Program Administration (PA)		Renee Velazquez - Birth & Beyond (La Familia)		Trish Kennedy – SCOE		
X	Carrie Britton – CPS - PA	X	Mayda Arce - Birth & Beyond (La Familia)	X	Anna Cresap – VOA - BFH		
X	Charlene Duffy – CPS - PS		Gina Roberson - W.E.A.V.E.		Leslie Matthews - Alternative Family Services		
X	Sarah Duncan – CPS - PS		Vinder Lallian - My Sister's House	X	Jennifer Shebesta – Stanford Youth Solutions /		
	Niku Mohanty-Campbell - CPS-PS	X	Jolane Blaylock - A Community for Peace		Sierra Forever Families		
	Christina Boakye-Donkor- CPS PS	X	Alexander Garlinger- A Community for Peace				
Discussion/ Action Items							
Agenda Item/Discussion				Minutes:			
Welcome and Introductions (Verronda)				Verronda welcomed participants and introductions were facilitated			
“The Source” Brief Presentation (Guest Speaker, Jason Isacson)				Presentation was cancelled due to presenter being ill.			
Review and approve April 22, 2019 meeting minutes (Carol)				Previous meeting minutes were approved with no changes/corrections.			
P4 data updates (Edward)				We are continuing not to meet the national standard (8.3%) and are trending toward the wrong direction. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In most recent quarter (Q3, 2019) – July 2016 through June 2017, children who entered and exited, and then reentered again within twelve months, amounted to 23.6% (110 children out of 467)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Only 39 children should have reentered. We had an additional 71 children reenter</li></ul></li><li>Data collected from October 2016 through September 2017 time period revealed Emergency Response (ER) and Informal Supervision (IS) Programs have a higher reentry rate than the Permanency Program – these programs should be our area of focus</li><li>Discussed how children can reenter while CPS is still involved with the family (Dependent Supervision, ER referral with Protective Emergency Placement Services (PEPS)/Voluntary Placement for more than eight days, or in Informal Supervision)</li><li>Suggest looking at companion measure P1 – what did we</li></ul>			

do with those children that exited within twelve months.  
Discussed “Evidence Driven versus Data Driven”

- Data Driven are just numbers
- Evidence Driven includes:
  - A. Learning the story (why are children reentering?)
  - B. Understanding what the story tells you, which becomes evidence
  - C. Developing a specific intervention policy based on A and B

There was a discussion at the meeting about the unique dynamics in same-gender domestic violence that may have been left unaddressed in services and played a part in reentry. Group discussed a domestic violence case that was a major incident after the drowning of a sibling. Parents completed a long list of services and had their children returned. Six months later the children were removed again because of another incident of domestic violence that included a weapon and door being pulled off the hinges. Social Worker, when asked why the family reentered, stated that although parents completed services, (1) they did not take ownership of the reason they initially entered the CPS system, (2) did not make any necessary behavior changes, and (3) did not cope with the drowning death of the sibling. Additionally, (4) one parent had mental health that was missed and she stopped taking her psychotropic medication.

Discussed that 40 cases reviewed by CPS P4 team in 2018 were primarily Domestic Violence (DV), Alcohol and/or Other Drug (AOD), or a combo with mental health often being a complicating factor. Sacramento County has robust services for AOD but not for DV.

Group discussed it would be good to obtain information regarding:

- How many children were returned home by the Court against Department’s recommendations
- Who is taking the children into protective custody – ER, IS, or PS

Discussion around some counties not having PEPS or Voluntary Placements; therefore, their numbers will look better. Additionally, State and Feds are only looking at quantitative data. There may be some data clean-up as some placements may not have been ended in the system (CWS/CMS).

<p>P4 data updates (Edward) <i>continued</i></p>	<p>Would be good to look at the spike in 2017 to see what was occurring during that time period.</p> <p>Discussed behaviorally based case plans and how we are not there yet, which can set families up for failure (checking off boxes regarding compliance instead of having a tailored plan)</p> <p>Discussed the need for trauma informed caregivers and system wide partnerships along with natural supports.</p> <p>Request to include at our meetings other SIP Work Group information regarding the other measures</p>
<p>CFT meeting updates (Sarah)</p>	<p>Sarah reviewed CFT meeting data summary for Sacramento County from January 2019 through September 2019:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There have been 635 CFT meetings held since January 2019</li> </ul> <p>Sarah advised the CFT has to provide input into the case plan. CFT meetings are occurring for Disposition and are being tracked if they do not occur during this time frame (developing the case plan with the family)</p> <p>Sarah provided an overview regarding CANS being currently piloted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are 5 mental health clinicians charged with completing the CANS</li> <li>• The CANS should be part of the CFT meeting and will eventually inform the case plan</li> <li>• CANS should be shared (after Release of Information from family) – important for FFAs to receive</li> <li>• Satisfaction survey will shape our practice moving forward</li> </ul>
<p>Review and discuss P4 action plan items (All)</p>	<p>Discussed how DV services are being utilized</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Community For Peace (ACFP) has LGBTQ specific services so can be effective when interpersonal violence is within LGBTQ relationships <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Do our social workers know about this targeted service?</li> <li>○ Not reflected on CS840 referral form – check with Barbara</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ACFP moved from Citrus Heights to Oak Park area. They</li> </ul>

	<p>are in the Fulton and Arcade area and also have a thrift store and job training.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do we need a DV Resource Fair?</li> </ul>
<p>Next Steps (All)</p>	<p><u>For Next Meeting:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edward will bring “Pathways to Reentry” to next meeting</li> <li>• Charlene to obtain updates from other SIP Workgroups</li> <li>• Charlene to obtain Prevention CFT meeting data</li> <li>• Charlene to check with Barbara regarding domestic violence agencies having specializations (LGBTQ, etc...) that can be noted on the Specialized Group Counseling list</li> </ul> <p><u>Topics Raised to Discuss Further:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emergency Response (ER) and Informal Supervision (IS) Programs – these programs should be our area of focus</li> <li>• Look at companion measure P1 – what did we do with those children that exited within twelve months?</li> <li>• How many children were returned home by the Court against Department’s recommendations?</li> <li>• Who is taking the children into protective custody – ER, IS, or PS?</li> <li>• Is there a need for data clean-up for placements not ended in the system (CWS/CMS)?</li> <li>• Would be good to look at the spike in 2017 to see what was occurring during that time period.</li> <li>• Need for trauma informed caregivers and system wide partnerships along with natural supports</li> <li>• Do we need a DV Resource Fair?</li> </ul>
<p>Plus/Delta (Carol)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PLUS +</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All the questions coming from everyone, great discussion</li> <li>• Data review</li> <li>• Having new members</li> <li>• Sarah and Edward to provide us important information on CFT meeting progress and P4 data</li> <li>• Nice to have a good mixture of community partners</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">DELTA -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would like updates from other SIP work groups</li> <li>• Data from PCFT meetings to tie-in because so far only looking at Permanency</li> <li>• Start with review see where we are to set context, and then dig into P4 data and CFT meeting data</li> <li>• Name tents</li> <li>• Data ahead of time if possible</li> <li>• Send out previous meeting minutes before hand</li> </ul>

Next meeting date	<b>Next Meeting Date:</b> – Wednesday, January 29, 2019 from 9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m., OB3, Conference Room 2 upstairs (3701 Branch Center Rd., 95827)
-------------------	--